

Global existence and future asymptotic behaviour for solutions of the Einstein-Vlasov-scalar field system with surface symmetry

D. Tegankong

Department of Mathematics, ENS,
University of Yaounde 1, Box 47, Yaounde, Cameroon
dtegangkong@yahoo.fr

Abstract

We prove in the cases of plane and hyperbolic symmetries a global in time existence result in the future for cosmological solutions of the Einstein-Vlasov-scalar field system, with the sources generated by a distribution function and a scalar field, subject to the Vlasov and wave equations respectively. The spacetime is future geodesically complete in the special case of plane symmetry with only a scalar field. Causal geodesics are also shown to be future complete for general homogeneous solutions of the Einstein-Vlasov-scalar field system with plane and hyperbolic symmetry.

1 Introduction

Consider the Einstein-Vlasov-scalar field system with spherical, plane and hyperbolic symmetries. For more information on this system which describes the evolution of self-gravitating collisionless matter and scalar waves within the context of general relativity, see [9]. We study in this paper global existence for solutions of this system where the Einstein equations are coupled to the Vlasov and wave equations. This completes in the expanding direction, the results of [9] which concentrated on the local in time existence results and also in the global existence in the special case of plane symmetric Einstein-scalar field system (i.e without Vlasov contribution). It is shown that in the spherical case, no solution exist globally in the future. Using the results of [3] which studied the future asymptotics of polarized Gowdy T^3 -models, we prove in the plane symmetric case without Vlasov that the spacetime obtained is geodesically complete in the future. The same result holds for spatially homogeneous solutions of the full system with plane or hyperbolic symmetry. Only a linear scalar field is treated but it is likely that the approach developed here will be useful in the nonlinear case.

Let us recall the formulation of the Einstein-Vlasov-scalar field system as shown in [9]. We consider a four-dimensional spacetime manifold M , with local coordinates $(x^\alpha) = (t, x^i)$ on which $x^0 = t$ denotes the time and (x^i) the space coordinates. Greek indices always run from 0 to 3, and Latin ones from 1 to 3. On M , a Lorentzian metric g is given with signature $(-, +, +, +)$. We consider a self-gravitating collisionless gas and restrict ourselves to the case where all particles have the same rest mass, normalized to 1, and move forward in time. We denote by (p^α) the momenta of the particles. The conservation of the quantity $g_{\alpha\beta}p^\alpha p^\beta$ requires that the phase space of the particle is the seven-dimensional submanifold

$$PM = \{g_{\alpha\beta}p^\alpha p^\beta = -1; \quad p^0 > 0\}$$

of TM which is coordinatized by (t, x^i, p^i) . If the coordinates are such that the components g_{0i} vanish then the component p^0 is expressed by the other coordinates via

$$p^0 = \sqrt{-g^{00}} \sqrt{1 + g_{ij}p^i p^j}$$

The distribution function of the particles is a non-negative real-valued function denoted by f , that is defined on PM . In addition we consider a massless scalar field ϕ which is a real-valued function on M . The Einstein-Vlasov-scalar field system now reads:

$$\partial_t f + \frac{p^i}{p^0} \partial_{x^i} f - \frac{1}{p^0} \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^i p^\beta p^\gamma \partial_{p^i} f = 0$$

$$\nabla^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \phi = 0$$

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = 8\pi T_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f p_\alpha p_\beta |g|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dp^1 dp^2 dp^3}{p^0} + (\nabla_\alpha \phi \nabla_\beta \phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\nu \phi \nabla^\nu \phi)$$

where $p_\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta}p^\beta$, $|g|$ denotes the modulus of determinant of the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$, $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda$ the Christoffel symbols, $G_{\alpha\beta}$ the Einstein tensor, and $T_{\alpha\beta}$ the energy-momentum tensor.

Note that since the contribution of f to the energy-momentum tensor is divergence-free [2], the form of the contribution of the scalar field to the energy-momentum tensor determines the field equation for ϕ .

We refer to [7] for the notion of spherical, plane and hyperbolic symmetry. We now consider a solution of the Einstein-Vlasov-scalar field system where all unknowns are invariant under one of these symmetries. We write the system in areal coordinates, i.e coordinates are chosen such that $R = t$, where R is the area radius function on a surface of symmetry. The circumstances under which coordinates of this type exist are discussed in [1]. In such coordinates the metric g takes the form

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\mu(t,r)} dt^2 + e^{2\lambda(t,r)} dr^2 + t^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin_k^2 \theta d\varphi^2) \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\sin_k \theta = \begin{cases} \sin \theta & \text{for } k = 1 \text{ (spherical symmetry);} \\ 1 & \text{for } k = 0 \text{ (plane symmetry);} \\ \sinh \theta & \text{for } k = -1 \text{ (hyperbolic symmetry)} \end{cases}$$

$t > 0$ denotes a time-like coordinate, $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and (θ, φ) range in the domains $[0, \pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$, $[0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$, $[0, \infty[\times [0, 2\pi]$ respectively, and stand for angular coordinates. The functions λ and μ are periodic in r with period 1. It has been shown in [5] that due to the symmetry, f can be written as a function of

$$t, r, w := e^\lambda p^1 \text{ and } F := t^4[(p^2)^2 + \sin_k^2 \theta (p^3)^2],$$

i.e. $f = f(t, r, w, F)$. In these variables, we have $p^0 = e^{-\mu} \sqrt{1 + w^2 + F/t^2}$. The scalar field is a function of t and r which is periodic in r with period 1.

We denote by a dot and by a prime the derivatives of the metric components and of the scalar field with respect to t and r respectively. Using the results of [9], the complete Einstein-Vlasov-scalar field system can be written as follows:

$$\partial_t f + \frac{e^{\mu-\lambda} w}{\sqrt{1 + w^2 + F/t^2}} \partial_r f - (\dot{\lambda} w + e^{\mu-\lambda} \mu' \sqrt{1 + w^2 + F/t^2}) \partial_w f = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

$$e^{-2\mu}(2t\dot{\lambda} + 1) + k = 8\pi t^2 \rho \quad (1.3)$$

$$e^{-2\mu}(2t\dot{\mu} - 1) - k = 8\pi t^2 p \quad (1.4)$$

$$\mu' = -4\pi t e^{\lambda+\mu} j \quad (1.5)$$

$$e^{-2\lambda}(\mu'' + \mu'(\mu' - \lambda')) - e^{-2\mu}(\ddot{\lambda} + (\dot{\lambda} + \frac{1}{t})(\dot{\lambda} - \dot{\mu})) = 4\pi q \quad (1.6)$$

$$e^{-2\lambda}\phi'' - e^{-2\mu}\ddot{\phi} - e^{-2\mu}(\dot{\lambda} - \dot{\mu} + \frac{2}{t})\dot{\phi} - e^{-2\lambda}(\lambda' - \mu')\phi' = 0 \quad (1.7)$$

where (1.7) is the wave equation in ϕ and :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t, r) = e^{-2\mu} T_{00}(t, r) &= \frac{\pi}{t^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \sqrt{1 + w^2 + F/t^2} f(t, r, w, F) dF dw \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (e^{-2\mu} \dot{\phi}^2 + e^{-2\lambda} \phi'^2) \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(t, r) = e^{-2\lambda} T_{11}(t, r) &= \frac{\pi}{t^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{w^2}{\sqrt{1 + w^2 + F/t^2}} f(t, r, w, F) dF dw \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (e^{-2\mu} \dot{\phi}^2 + e^{-2\lambda} \phi'^2) \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

$$j(t, r) = -e^{-(\lambda+\mu)} T_{01}(t, r) = \frac{\pi}{t^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} w f(t, r, w, F) dF dw - e^{-(\lambda+\mu)} \dot{\phi} \phi' \quad (1.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} q(t, r) &= \frac{2}{t^2} T_{22}(t, r) = \frac{2}{t^2 \sin_k^2 \theta} T_{33}(t, r, \theta) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{t^4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{F}{\sqrt{1+w^2+F/t^2}} f(t, r, w, F) dF dw + e^{-2\mu} \dot{\phi}^2 - e^{-2\lambda} \phi'^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

We prescribe initial data at time $t = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(1, r, w, F) &= \overset{\circ}{f}(r, w, F), \quad \lambda(1, r) = \overset{\circ}{\lambda}(r), \quad \mu(1, r) = \overset{\circ}{\mu}(r), \\ \phi(1, r) &= \overset{\circ}{\phi}(r), \quad \dot{\phi}(1, r) = \psi(r) \end{aligned}$$

The choice $t = 1$ is made only for convenience. Analogous results hold in the case of prescribed data on any hypersurface $t = t_0 > 0$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we show that the solution of the Cauchy problem corresponding to system (1.2)-(1.11) exists for all $t \geq 1$ and $k \leq 0$. In section 3, we prove that the spacetime obtained is timelike and null geodesically complete towards the future in the plane symmetric case with f identically zero. This section ends with results on the homogeneous models. We prove in section 4, for plane symmetric solutions with $f = 0$, that the maximal globally hyperbolic development of any initial data is inextendible.

Unless otherwise specified in what follows, constants denoted by C will be positive, may depend on the initial data and may change their value from line to line.

2 Global existence in the future

In this section we make use of the continuation criterion in the following local existence result.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\overset{\circ}{f} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \infty[)$ with $\overset{\circ}{f}(r+1, w, F) = \overset{\circ}{f}(r, w, F)$ for $(r, w, F) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \infty[$, $\overset{\circ}{f} \geq 0$, and*

$$w_0 := \sup\{|w| \mid (r, w, F) \in \text{supp} \overset{\circ}{f}\} < \infty$$

$$F_0 := \sup\{F \mid (r, w, F) \in \text{supp} \overset{\circ}{f}\} < \infty$$

Let $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{\phi} \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}(r) = \overset{\circ}{\lambda}(r+1)$, $\overset{\circ}{\mu}(r) = \overset{\circ}{\mu}(r+1)$, $\overset{\circ}{\phi}(r) = \overset{\circ}{\phi}(r+1)$ and

$$\overset{\circ}{\mu}'(r) = -4\pi e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda} + \overset{\circ}{\mu}} \overset{\circ}{j}(r), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}$$

Then there exists a unique, right maximal, regular solution (f, λ, μ, ϕ) of system (1.2)-(1.11) with $(f, \lambda, \mu, \phi)(1) = (\overset{\circ}{f}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{\phi})$ and $\dot{\phi}(1) = \psi$ on a time interval $[1, T[$ with $T \in [1, \infty]$. If

$$\sup\{|w| \mid (t, r, w, F) \in \text{supp} f\} < \infty$$

and

$$\sup\{e^{2\mu(t,r)} \mid r \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [1, T]\} < \infty$$

then $T = \infty$.

This is the content of theorems 4.6 and 4.7 in [9]. For a regular solution, all derivatives which appear in the system exist and are continuous by definition (see [9]). We prove the following result in the cases of plane and hyperbolic symmetries.

Proposition 2.2 *Assume that (f, λ, μ, ϕ) is a right maximal regular solution of the Einstein-Vlasov-scalar field system with initial data given for $t = 1$. Then for $k = 0$ or $k = -1$,*

$$\sup\{e^{2\mu(t,r)} \mid r \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [1, T]\} < \infty.$$

Proof : We now establish a series of estimates which will result in an upper bound on μ . Similar estimates were used in [9] and [1]. Firstly, integration of (1.4) with respect to t and the fact that p is non-negative imply that

$$e^{2\mu(t,r)} = \left[\frac{e^{-2\overset{\circ}{\mu}(r)} + k}{t} - k - \frac{8\pi}{t} \int_1^t s^2 p(s, r) ds \right]^{-1} \geq \frac{t}{C_0 - kt}, \quad t \in [1, T[\quad (2.1)$$

where $C_0 = k + \sup\{e^{-2\overset{\circ}{\mu}(r)}; r \in [0, 1]\}$. Next let us show that

$$\int_0^1 e^{\mu+\lambda} \rho(t, r) dr \leq Ct, \quad t \in [1, T[\quad (2.2)$$

A lengthy calculation shows that, since μ and j are periodic with respect to r :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 e^{\mu+\lambda} \rho(t, r) dr = -\frac{1}{t} \int_0^1 e^{\mu+\lambda} \left[2\rho + q - \frac{\rho+p}{2} (1 + ke^{2\mu}) \right] dr \quad (2.3)$$

For $k = 0$, since $q \geq -2\rho$ and $p \leq \rho$, we have:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 e^{\mu+\lambda} \rho(t, r) dr \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^1 e^{\mu+\lambda} \rho(t, r) dr$$

Integrating with respect to t yields (2.2) for $k = 0$. For $k = -1$, we have, using (2.1) and the fact that $2\rho + q \geq -\rho$, $p \leq \rho$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 e^{\mu+\lambda} \rho(t, r) dr &= -\frac{1}{t} \int_0^1 e^{\mu+\lambda} \left[2\rho + q - \frac{\rho+p}{2}(1 - e^{2\mu}) \right] dr \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{t} \int_0^1 e^{\mu+\lambda} (2\rho + q - \frac{\rho+p}{2}) dr - \frac{1}{C_0 + t} \int_0^1 e^{\mu+\lambda} \frac{\rho+p}{2} dr \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2}{t} - \frac{1}{C_0 + t} \right) \int_0^1 e^{\mu+\lambda} \rho dr \end{aligned}$$

Integrating with respect to t yields (2.2) for $k = -1$. Using (1.5), the fact that $|j| \leq \rho$ and (2.2) we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(t, r) - \int_0^1 \mu(t, \sigma) d\sigma| &= \left| \int_0^1 \int_\sigma^r \mu'(t, \tau) d\tau d\sigma \right| \leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |\mu'(t, \tau)| d\tau d\sigma \\ &\leq 4\pi t \int_0^1 e^{\mu+\lambda} \rho(t, \tau) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$|\mu(t, r) - \int_0^1 \mu(t, \sigma) d\sigma| \leq Ct^2, \quad t \in [1, T[, \quad r \in [0, 1] \quad (2.4)$$

Next we show that

$$e^{\mu(t, r) - \lambda(t, r)} \leq Ct, \quad t \in [1, T[, \quad r \in [0, 1]. \quad (2.5)$$

By (2.1) and since $\rho \geq p$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{\mu-\lambda} = e^{\mu-\lambda} \left[4\pi t e^{2\mu} (p - \rho) + \frac{1 + ke^{2\mu}}{t} \right] \leq \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{k}{C_0 - kt} \right) e^{\mu-\lambda},$$

and integrating this inequality with respect to t yields

$$e^{\mu-\lambda} \leq C \frac{t}{C_0 - kt} \leq Ct,$$

i.e. (2.5).

Now we estimate the average of $\mu(t)$ over the interval $[0, 1]$ which in combination with (2.4) will yield the desired upper bound on μ . We use (1.4), (2.2), (2.5) and the fact that $p \leq \rho$, $ke^{2\mu} \leq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \mu(t, r) dr &= \int_0^1 \overset{\circ}{\mu}(r) dr + \int_1^t \int_0^1 \dot{\mu}(s, r) dr ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \overset{\circ}{\mu}(r) dr + \frac{1}{2} \ln t + 4\pi \int_1^t \int_0^1 s e^{\mu-\lambda} e^{\mu+\lambda} \rho dr ds + \int_1^t \int_0^1 \frac{ke^{2\mu}}{2s} dr ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \overset{\circ}{\mu}(r) dr + \frac{1}{2} \ln t + Ct^4 \end{aligned}$$

with (2.4) this implies

$$\mu(t, r) \leq C(1 + \ln t + t^4 + t^2) \leq Ct^4, \quad t \in [1, T[, \quad r \in [0, 1]. \quad (2.6)$$

Remark 2.3 *We have proven that for initial data as in theorem 2.1, the right maximal regular solution of the full system satisfies estimates (2.2), (2.5) and (2.6).*

In the next theorem, we prove that the solution exists on the full interval $[1, \infty[$.

Theorem 2.4 *Assume that (f, λ, μ, ϕ) is a solution of the full system on a right maximal interval of existence $[1, T[$ with initial data as in theorem 2.1, then $T = \infty$.*

Proof: Assume that $T < \infty$. We show that under the bound of μ , we obtain the bound of $\sup\{|w|(t, r, w, F) \in \text{supp} f\}$ which is in contradiction to theorem 2.1. The proof of the bound on w is similar to the proof of [see [5], theorem 6.2].

Except in the vacuum case we have $w_0 > 0$ and $F_0 > 0$. For $t \geq 1$ define

$$P_+(t) := \max\{0, \max\{|w|(r, w, F) \in \text{supp} f(t)\}\},$$

$$P_-(t) := \min\{0, \min\{|w|(r, w, F) \in \text{supp} f(t)\}\}.$$

Let $(r(s), w(s), F)$ be a characteristic in the support of f . Assume that $P_+(t) > 0$ for some $t \in [1, T[$, and let $w(t) > 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{w}(s) &= -\dot{\lambda}w - e^{\mu-\lambda}\mu'\sqrt{1+w^2+F/s^2} \\ &= \frac{4\pi^2}{s}e^{2\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\tilde{w}\sqrt{1+w^2+F/s^2} - w\sqrt{1+\tilde{w}^2+\tilde{F}/s^2} \right) f d\tilde{F} d\tilde{w} \\ &\quad + \frac{1+ke^{2\mu}}{2s}w - 4\pi se^{2\mu} \left[\frac{1}{2}w(\dot{\phi}^2 e^{-2\mu} + \phi'^2 e^{-2\lambda}) + \sqrt{1+w^2+F/s^2} \dot{\phi}\phi' e^{-\mu-\lambda} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Set $\gamma = \tilde{w}\sqrt{1+w^2+F/s^2} - w\sqrt{1+\tilde{w}^2+\tilde{F}/s^2}$. As long as $w(s) > 0$, we have the following estimates: if $\tilde{w} \leq 0$ then $\gamma \leq 0$. If $\tilde{w} > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{4\pi^2}{s}e^{2\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \gamma f d\tilde{F} d\tilde{w} + \frac{1+ke^{2\mu}}{2s}w \leq \frac{1+ke^{2\mu}}{2s}w \\
& + \frac{4\pi^2}{s}e^{2\mu} \int_0^{P_+(s)} \int_0^{F_0} \frac{\tilde{w}^2(1+w^2+F/s^2) - w^2(1+\tilde{w}^2+\tilde{F}/s^2)}{\tilde{w}\sqrt{1+w^2+F/s^2} + w\sqrt{1+\tilde{w}^2+\tilde{F}/s^2}} f d\tilde{F} d\tilde{w} \\
& \leq \frac{4\pi^2}{s}e^{2\mu} \int_0^{P_+(s)} \int_0^{F_0} \frac{\tilde{w}(1+F)}{w} f d\tilde{F} d\tilde{w} + \frac{1+ke^{2\mu}}{2s}w \\
& \leq 4\pi^2 F_0(1+F_0) \|\mathring{f}\| \frac{e^{2\mu}}{2s}(P_+(s))^2 \frac{1}{w} + \frac{1+ke^{2\mu}}{2s}w \\
& \leq \frac{C}{s} \left[\frac{(P_+(s))^2}{w} + w \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

It is proved in [9] that $K(s) = \sup\{(e^{-2\mu}\dot{\phi}^2 + e^{-2\lambda}\phi'^2)(s, r); s \in [1, T]\} \leq K(1)s$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}w(\dot{\phi}^2 e^{-2\mu} + \phi'^2 e^{-2\lambda}) + \sqrt{1+w^2+F/s^2} \dot{\phi}\phi' e^{-\mu-\lambda} \\
& \geq (w + \sqrt{1+w^2+F/s^2}) \dot{\phi}\phi' e^{-\mu-\lambda} \\
& \geq -\sqrt{1+w^2+F/s^2} K(s) \\
& \geq -C(1+P_+(s))s
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore, using (2.8) and (2.9), (2.7) yields:

$$\dot{w}(s) \leq \frac{C}{s} \left[\frac{(P_+(s))^2}{w(s)} + w(s) \right] + C(1+P_+(s))s$$

i.e.

$$\frac{d}{ds}w(s)^2 \leq C(s^{-1}+s)(P_+(s))^2 + CP_+(s)s$$

as long as $w(s) > 0$. Let $t_1 \in [1, t[$ be defined minimal such that $w(s) > 0$ for $s \in [t_1, t]$, then

$$w(t)^2 \leq w(t_1)^2 + C \int_{t_1}^t [(s^{-1}+s)(P_+(s))^2 + sP_+(s)] ds$$

If $t_1 = 1$ then $w(t_1) \leq w_0$ and

$$w(t)^2 \leq w_0^2 + C \int_1^t [(s^{-1}+s)(P_+(s))^2 + sP_+(s)] ds.$$

If $t_1 > 1$ then $w(t_1) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
w(t)^2 & \leq C \int_{t_1}^t [(s^{-1}+s)(P_+(s))^2 + sP_+(s)] ds \\
& \leq w_0^2 + C \int_1^t [(s^{-1}+s)(P_+(s))^2 + sP_+(s)] ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
(P_+(t))^2 &\leq w_0^2 + C \int_1^t [(s^{-1} + s)(P_+(s))^2 + sP_+(s)]ds \\
&\leq w_0^2 + C \int_1^t [(s^{-1} + s)(P_+(s))^2 + s]ds \\
&\leq (w_0^2 + Ct^2) + C \int_1^t (s^{-1} + s)(P_+(s))^2 ds; \text{ for all } t \in [1, T[
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality to this estimate implies that P_+ is bounded on $[1, T[$.

Estimating $\dot{w}(s)$ from below in the case $w(s) < 0$ along the same lines shows that P_- is bounded as well and the proof is complete.

Remark 2.5 *In the spherically symmetric case ($k = 1$), there is no global existence in the future, regardless of the size of initial data. For any solution (f, λ, μ, ϕ) , the estimate*

$$e^{-2\mu(t,r)} = \frac{e^{-2\mathring{\mu}(r)} + 1}{t} - 1 - \frac{8\pi}{t} \int_1^t s^2 p(s, r) ds \leq \frac{e^{-2\mathring{\mu}(r)} + 1}{t} - 1$$

has to hold on the interval $[1, T[$. Since the right hand side of this inequality tends to -1 for $t \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $T < \infty$. Furthermore we deduce from the previous theorem that $\|e^{2\mu}\| \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow T$.

3 The future asymptotic behaviour

In this section we prove that the spacetime obtained in theorem 2.4 (with $f = 0$) in the plane symmetric case is timelike and null geodesically complete in the expanding direction. Later on, we prove that this result holds for homogeneous solutions of the Einstein-Vlasov-scalar field system in plane and hyperbolic symmetries.

3.1 Particular explicit solutions

Let us determine first the explicit solutions ϕ, μ, λ in the case $f = 0$ and $k = 0$.

In the case $k = 0$ the wave equation can be reduced to a simple linear equation and the result follows from [8]. This reduction goes as follows. In that case the field equations imply that $\lambda - \mu + \log t$ is constant in time. It may, however, be dependent on r . Suppose that r is replaced by a new coordinate s on the initial hypersurface. Choosing s appropriately makes the transformed quantity $\lambda - \mu + \log t$ constant on the initial hypersurface and hence everywhere. Once this transformation has been carried out the wave equation simplifies to $\ddot{\phi} + t^{-1}\dot{\phi} = \phi''$. We can use the results of Jurke [3] obtained in the case of polarized Gowdy T^3 -models (where W is replaced here by ϕ, t by a multiple of t^2 and it is

necessary to be careful to get the right periodicity of the transformed solution). The equations are similar with the same boundary conditions. Following this, for all $(t, r) \in [1, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, ϕ must be in this form :

$$\phi(t, r) = \begin{cases} a_1 + 2b \log t & \text{(homogeneous case)} \\ a_1 + 2b \log t + t^{-1} \alpha(t, r) + \beta(t, r) & \text{(non-homogeneous case)} \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where a_1 and b are constants fixed by initial values of ϕ and $\dot{\phi}$, α and β real-valued C^2 -functions with $|\alpha|, |\alpha'| \leq C$, $|\dot{\alpha}| \leq Ct$, $|\beta|, |\beta'| \leq Ct^{-3}$, $|\dot{\beta}| \leq Ct^{-2}$, and $\frac{1}{4}(t^{-2}\partial_{tt} - t^{-3}\partial_t)\alpha(t, r) = \partial_{rr}\alpha(t, r)$. With this, $|\dot{\phi}\phi'| \leq Ct^{-1}$.

From the field equations (1.3), (1.4) we have :

$$\dot{\lambda} = -\frac{1}{2t} + 2\pi t(\dot{\phi}^2 + 4t^2\phi'^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\mu} = \frac{1}{2t} + 2\pi t(\dot{\phi}^2 + 4t^2\phi'^2)$$

Therefore $\dot{\mu}$ and $\dot{\lambda}$ are bounded each by a positive constant C . From Theorem 15 of [3] (the hypothesis of this theorem are satisfied : a_t is replaced here by $\dot{\mu}$), μ can be cast for all $(t, r) \in [1, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ into the form

$$\mu(t, r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(16\pi b^2 + 1) \log t + \gamma & \text{if } \mu' = 0 \\ \nu t^2 + \delta(t, r) & \text{(non-homogeneous case)} \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where ν is a positive constant, γ a constant fixed by initial value of μ and the function δ satisfies the inequalities, $|\delta(t, r)| \leq C(1 + t)$, $|\dot{\delta}| \leq Ct$. Note that if $\phi' = 0$ then from equation (1.5), $\mu' = 0$ and $\lambda' = 0$; the solutions are independent of r and we are in the homogeneous case.

3.2 Geodesic completeness

Let $] \tau_-, \tau_+[\ni \tau \mapsto (x^\alpha(\tau), p^\beta(\tau))$ be a geodesic whose existence interval is maximally extended and such that $x^0(\tau_0) = t(\tau_0) = 1$ for some $\tau_0 \in] \tau_-, \tau_+[$. We want to show that for future-directed timelike and null geodesics, $\tau_+ = +\infty$. Consider first the case of a timelike geodesic, i.e.,

$$g_{\alpha\beta} p^\alpha p^\beta = -m^2 ; \quad p^0 > 0$$

with $m > 0$. Since $dt/d\tau = p^0 > 0$, the geodesic can be parameterized by the coordinate time t . Recall that along the geodesics the variables $t, r, p^0, w := e^\lambda p^1, F := t^4 [(p^2)^2 + \sin_k^2 \theta (p^3)^2]$ satisfy the following system of differential equations :

$$\frac{dr}{d\tau} = e^{-\lambda} w, \quad \frac{dw}{d\tau} = -\dot{\lambda} p^0 w - e^{2\mu-\lambda} \mu' (p^0)^2, \quad \frac{dF}{d\tau} = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = p^0, \quad \frac{dp^0}{d\tau} = -\dot{\mu} (p^0)^2 - 2e^{-\lambda} \mu' p^0 w - e^{-2\mu} \dot{\lambda} w^2 - e^{-2\mu} t^{-3} F. \quad (3.4)$$

With respect to coordinate time the geodesic exists on the interval $[1, \infty[$ since on bounded t -intervals the Christoffel symbols are bounded and the right hand

sides of the geodesic equations written in coordinate time are linearly bounded in p^1, p^2, p^3 . Along the geodesic we define w and F as above. Then the relation between coordinate time and proper time along the geodesic is given by

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = p^0 = e^{-\mu} \sqrt{m^2 + w^2 + F/t^2},$$

and to control this we need to control w as a function of coordinate time.

3.2.1 The plane symmetric case without Vlasov

Assume that $w(t) > 0$ for some $t \geq 1$. By (3.3), the fact that $|\dot{\phi}||\phi'| (s) \leq Cs^{-1}$, $e^{(\mu-\lambda)(s)} = Cs$ and $|j| \leq \rho$, we have as long as $w(s) > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}w(s) &= 4\pi s e^{2\mu} (j \sqrt{m^2 + w^2 + F/s^2} - \rho w) + \frac{1}{2s}w \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2s}w - 4\pi s e^{2\mu} \rho w + 4\pi s e^{2\mu} |j| (w + \sqrt{m^2 + F/s^2}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2s}w + 4\pi s e^{\mu-\lambda} |\dot{\phi}||\phi'| \sqrt{m^2 + F/s^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2s}w + Cs \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Let $t_0 \in [1, t[$ be defined minimal such that $w(s) > 0$ for $s \in [t_0, t[$. Then Gronwall's inequality shows that

$$w(t) \leq \left[w(t_0) + C \int_{t_0}^t s \exp\left(\int_s^{t_0} \frac{d\tau}{2\tau}\right) ds \right] \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^t \frac{d\tau}{2\tau}\right).$$

Now either $t_0 = 1$ and $w(t_0) = w(1)$ or $t_0 > 1$ and $w(t_0) = 0$. Thus

$$w(t) \leq \left[|w(1)| + C \int_1^t s \exp\left(\int_s^1 \frac{d\tau}{2\tau}\right) ds \right] \exp\left(\int_1^t \frac{d\tau}{2\tau}\right) \leq Ct^2.$$

Estimating $\dot{w}(s)$ from below in the case $w(s) < 0$ along the same lines yields the upper bound of $-w(t)$.

Since $|\delta| \leq C(1+t)$, $\nu t^2 + \delta > \nu t^2(1 - \frac{C(1+t)}{\nu t^2})$. For t large, we choose $\frac{C(1+t)}{\nu t^2} < \frac{1}{2}$. Then along the geodesic we have :

$$\frac{d\tau}{dt} = \frac{e^\mu}{\sqrt{m^2 + w^2 + F/t^2}} \geq \frac{\nu t^2}{2t^2 \sqrt{m^2 + C + F}}.$$

Since the left hand side is constant, the integral over $[1, \infty[$ diverges.

In the homogeneous case ($\nu = 0, j = 0, \rho \geq 0$), (3.5) becomes

$$\frac{d}{ds}w(s) \leq \frac{1}{2s}w$$

i.e $w(t) \leq Ct^{1/2}$ for $t \geq 1$. Therefore

$$\frac{d\tau}{dt} \geq Ct^{8\pi b^2}$$

The integral of the right hand side of the above inequality over $[1, \infty[$ diverges. In either case, we conclude that $\tau_+ = +\infty$ as desired.

In the case of a future-directed null geodesic, i.e. $m = 0$ and $p^0(\tau_0) > 0$, p^0 is everywhere positive and the quantity F is again conserved. The argument can now be carried out exactly as in the timelike case, implying that $\tau_+ = +\infty$. We have proven :

Theorem 3.1 *Consider initial data with plane symmetry for the Einstein-scalar field system written in areal coordinates. Then the corresponding spacetime is timelike and null geodesically complete in the expanding direction.*

3.2.2 The spatially homogeneous case

Consider now the Einstein-Vlasov-scalar field system for $k \leq 0$. We study the future behaviour for solutions which are independent of the space coordinate r . In this case $\mu' = 0$, $\phi' = 0$ and equation (1.5) shows that $j = 0$.

We prove that $w(t)$ is bounded for finite time t and the spacetime obtained is geodesically complete. Since $\rho \geq 0$, $k \leq 0$, we have from (3.3),

$$\frac{d}{ds}w(s) = -4\pi s e^{2\mu} \rho w + \frac{1 + k e^{2\mu}}{2s} w \leq \frac{1}{2s} w$$

and we can prove as above that $w(t) \leq C t^{1/2}$ for $t \geq 1$. Using (2.1), along the geodesic,

$$\frac{d\tau}{dt} \geq \frac{C}{\sqrt{m^2 + Ct + F/t^2}} \geq C t^{-1/2}.$$

The integral of the right hand side over $[1, \infty[$ diverges. Therefore $\tau_+ = +\infty$. In the case of a future-directed null geodesic, the argument is the same as what we have done in the previous subsection.

In the homogeneous case, a number of further estimates can also be obtained. We follow the proof of theorem 2.1 and [6] to obtain a better bound of μ which depend explicitly of the data. Recall (2.3)

$$\frac{d}{dt}(e^{\mu+\lambda}\rho)(t) = -\frac{1}{t}e^{\mu+\lambda} \left[2\rho + q - \frac{\rho+p}{2}(1 + k e^{2\mu}) \right].$$

Since $q \geq 0$, we obtain :
for $k = 0$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(e^{\mu+\lambda}\rho)(t) \leq -\frac{1}{t}e^{\mu+\lambda}\rho(t);$$

thus

$$e^{\mu+\lambda}\rho(t) \leq e^{\overset{\circ}{\mu}+\overset{\circ}{\lambda}}\overset{\circ}{\rho}t^{-1}; \quad (3.6)$$

for $k = -1$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}(e^{\mu+\lambda}\rho)(t) &\leq -\frac{1}{t}e^{\mu+\lambda}\left[2\rho - \frac{\rho+p}{2} - \frac{1}{C_0+t}\frac{\rho+p}{2}\right] \\ &\leq e^{\mu+\lambda}\rho\left(-\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{C_0+t}\right) \\ &\leq -\frac{2}{C_1+t}e^{\mu+\lambda}\rho(t)\end{aligned}$$

where $C_0 = k + e^{-2\overset{\circ}{\mu}}$ and $C_1 = \max(0, C_0)$. Thus

$$e^{\mu+\lambda}\rho(t) \leq (C_1 + 1)^2 e^{\overset{\circ}{\mu} + \overset{\circ}{\lambda}} \overset{\circ}{\rho} t^{-2}. \quad (3.7)$$

Next we have similarly to (2.5),

$$e^{\mu-\lambda} \leq e^{\overset{\circ}{\mu} - \overset{\circ}{\lambda}} t \quad \text{for } k = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

$$e^{\mu-\lambda} \leq e^{\overset{\circ}{\mu} - \overset{\circ}{\lambda}} \frac{e^{-2\overset{\circ}{\mu}} t}{e^{-2\overset{\circ}{\mu}} - 1 + t} \leq e^{|\overset{\circ}{\mu}| - \overset{\circ}{\lambda}} \quad \text{for } k = -1 \quad (3.9)$$

For $k = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(t) &\leq \overset{\circ}{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \log t + 4\pi \int_1^t s e^{2\mu} \rho ds = \overset{\circ}{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \log t + 4\pi \int_1^t s e^{\mu-\lambda} e^{\mu+\lambda} \rho ds \\ &\leq \overset{\circ}{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \log t + 4\pi e^{\overset{\circ}{\mu} + \overset{\circ}{\lambda}} \overset{\circ}{\rho} e^{\overset{\circ}{\mu} - \overset{\circ}{\lambda}} \int_1^t s ds\end{aligned}$$

i.e

$$\mu(t) \leq \overset{\circ}{\mu} + 2\pi e^{2\overset{\circ}{\mu}} \overset{\circ}{\rho} t^2. \quad (3.10)$$

For $k = -1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(t) &\leq \overset{\circ}{\mu} + 4\pi \int_1^t s e^{2\mu} \rho ds + \int_1^t \frac{1}{2s} (1 - e^{2\mu}) ds \\ &\leq \overset{\circ}{\mu} + 4\pi \int_1^t s e^{\mu-\lambda} e^{\mu+\lambda} \rho ds + \int_1^t \frac{1}{2s} \left(1 - \frac{s}{C_0+s}\right) ds \\ &\leq \overset{\circ}{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \log(C_0 + 1) + 4\pi (C_1 + 1)^2 e^{|\overset{\circ}{\mu}| + \overset{\circ}{\mu}} \overset{\circ}{\rho} \int_1^t s^{-1} ds\end{aligned}$$

i.e

$$\mu(t) \leq \overset{\circ}{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \log(C_0 + 1) + 4\pi e^{|\overset{\circ}{\mu}| + \overset{\circ}{\mu}} \overset{\circ}{\rho} (C_1 + 1)^2 \log t. \quad (3.11)$$

We have proven :

Theorem 3.2 *Consider spatially homogeneous solutions of the plane and hyperbolic symmetric Einstein-Vlasov-scalar field system written in areal coordinates and initial data given for $t = 1$. Then these solutions exist on the whole interval $[1, \infty[$ and the corresponding spacetimes are timelike and null geodesically complete in the expanding direction. Estimates (3.6)-(3.7)-(3.8)-(3.9)-(3.10)-(3.11) are satisfied.*

Remark 3.3 *In the homogeneous plane symmetric case with only a scalar field, solving the wave equation, we obtain $|\dot{\phi}(t)| = |\psi|t^{-1}$. From the field equations, $\dot{\lambda}(t) = (2\pi\psi^2 - \frac{1}{2})t^{-1}$ and $\lambda(t) = \overset{\circ}{\lambda} + (2\pi\psi^2 - \frac{1}{2})\log t$; $\mu(t) = \overset{\circ}{\mu} + (2\pi\psi^2 + \frac{1}{2})\log t$; $\rho(t) = p(t) = \frac{1}{2}\psi^2 e^{-2\overset{\circ}{\mu}} t^{-3-4\pi\psi^2}$; $j(t) = 0$; $q(t) = 2p(t)$. We can compute the limiting values of the generalized Kasner exponents :*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_1^1(t, r)}{K(t, r)} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t\dot{\lambda}(t, r)}{t\dot{\lambda} + 2} = \frac{4\pi\psi^2 - 1}{4\pi\psi^2 + 3} ; \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_2^2(t, r)}{K(t, r)} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_3^3(t, r)}{K(t, r)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t\dot{\lambda} + 2} = \frac{2}{4\pi\psi^2 + 3}, \end{aligned}$$

4 Strong cosmic censorship

Consider the Einstein-scalar field system with plane symmetry and initial data given at $t = 1$. It follows from [8] that the Kretschmann scalar blows up uniformly as the singularity is approached. This means that the inhomogeneous spacetime cannot be extended to the past. It cannot be extended to the future for any initial data since we have proved geodesic completeness in theorem 3.1. Therefore the maximal globally hyperbolic development of any initial data is inextendible. This means in conclusion that the strong cosmic censorship holds for this model (see [4]).

The question whether the spacetime is geodesically complete in the non-homogeneous hyperbolic case remains open.

Acknowledgements : the author thanks A.D. Rendall for helpful comments. He also thanks N. Noutchegueme. Support by a research grant from the VolkswagenStiftung, Federal Republic of Germany is acknowledged. This work was completed during a visit at the Max-Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics, Golm, Germany.

References

- [1] H. Andréasson, G. Rein and A. D. Rendall 2003 On the Einstein-Vlasov system with hyperbolic symmetry. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 124, 529-549.
- [2] J. Ehlers 1973 A survey of General Relativity Theory Astrophysics and cosmology, *W. Israel (ed.)*, 1-125, Reidel, Dordrecht.

- [3] T. Jurke 2003 On future asymptotics of polarized Gowdy T^3 -models *Class. Quant. Grav.* 20, 173-192.
- [4] V. Moncrief and D. Eardley 1981 The global existence problem and cosmic censorship in general relativity. *Gen. Rel. Grav.* 13, 887-892.
- [5] G. Rein 1995 The Vlasov-Einstein system with surface symmetry. *Habilitation thesis*, Munich.
- [6] G. Rein 2004 On future geodesic completeness for the Einstein-Vlasov system with hyperbolic symmetry *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 137, 237-244.
- [7] A. D. Rendall 1995 Crushing singularities in spacetimes with spherical, plane and hyperbolic symmetry. *Class. Quantum Grav.* 12, 1517-1533.
- [8] A. D. Rendall 1995 On the nature of singularities in plane symmetric scalar field cosmologies. *Gen. Rel. Grav.* 27, 213-221.
- [9] D. Tegankong, N. Noutchegueme and A.D. Rendall 2004 Local existence and continuation criteria for solutions of the Einstein-Vlasov-scalar field system with surface symmetry *JHDE*. Vol 1, No. 4, 691-724.